# Page Replacement Algorithms



# Preemptive CPU Scheduler: which process to run next when CPU is **vacant**

Page Replacement: which <u>process page</u> to kick-out of RAM when RAM <u>becomes **full**</u>



# Page Replacement

- Page Fault Interrupt triggers the OS to bring the missing page into RAM
  But, no empty frame is available in RAM
- One of the frames (victim frame) must be overwritten
  - Whose frame?
  - Which frame?
  - Was the frame modified?
- Page Replacement = [Swap Out Victim Pg] + Swap In Missing Pg
- Technicality: Page Replacement or Frame Replacement?

#### Can we not swap out?

### Can we just *overwrite* the victim frame?

# Dirty Bit / Modify Bit

- A(nother) bit in the page table to indicate if the corresponding page has been altered since the last time it was swapped in
  - The modify/dirty bit is **automatically set by hardware (**i.e. data written to a page)
- The the modify/dirty bit is FALSE, there is no need to write a victim page to the swap space

# Algorithms for Demand Paging

- Objective: Minimize Page Fault Rate
- Frame Allocation Algorithm
  - Determine **how many** frames to allocate to each process
  - Easier task to solve
  - Consequence of poor decision?
- Page Replacement Algorithm
  - Select a victim frame (when handling page fault and memory is full)
  - Harder task to solve
  - Consequence of poor decision?

# Page Replacement Algorithms

- Static Algorithms assume the number of frames allocated to a process is fixed
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Local scope: victim page is selected from the process experiencing the fault
- Dynamic Algorithms adjust number of frame allocations as a process runs
  - Global scope: victim page is selected system wide

# Static Page Replacement Algorithms

- FIFO
  - Belady's Anomaly
- Optimal Page Replacement
- LRU
  - Stack Algorithms
- LRU approximation
  - Several techniques for timestamp approximation, clock/second-chance algorithms
- Counting-Based
  - LFU: Least Frequently Used
  - MFU: Most Frequently Used

# **Replacement Policy**

- Which page to replace?
- The page replaced should (ideally) by the page least likely to be referenced in the **near future**.
  - Impossible to know future behavior of our programs!
- Most algorithms predict future behavior based on past behavior

48

# FIFO Page Replacement

Selection of victim: max age in RAM / oldest frame in RAM
 o Replace the page the has been in RAM the longest

retire long-time employees

- Implementation: use a circular buffer to keep the resident page numbers
- Belady's Anomaly: number of page faults may increase when a process is allocated more frames
- Consequence of poor choice: the victim page may be referenced again very soon







## FIFO: Belady's Anomaly



# Too few coat hangers @ end of winter cleanup

Which coats to store away? rain coats or winter coats?



# **Optimal Page Replacement Algorithm**

- Selection of Victim: **farthest next** (near future) reference
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Replace the page that WILL NOT be used for the longest period of time
  - Impossible to implement, use only for theoretical analysis
- Does not suffer from Belady's anomaly
- Gives the lowest page fault rate



## Optimal: farthest *next* reference (forward dist)

LRU: farthest *previous* reference (backward dist)

## Least-Recently Used (LRU)

- Selection of Victim: farthest previous reference
  Replace the page that HAS NOT been used for the longest period of time
  Bookshelf clean up: C, C++, COBOL, Python, Ruby?
- Approximation to the Optimal Algorithm
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Backward distance is a good estimate for forward distance
  - LRU does not suffer from Belady's Anomaly
- Implementation
  - What we need: timestamp to last access/reference (*updated by hardware*). Replace the page with the smallest timestamp



# LRU on Reversed Reference String



## LRU on a Reversed Reference String

7	0	1	2	0	3	0	4	2	3	0	3 2	2 1	. 2	0	1	7	0	1	
7	7	7	2		2		4	4	4	0	]		1		1		1		
	0	0	0		0		0	0	3	3			3		0		0		
		1	1		3		3	2	2	2			2		2		7		
Rev	ersed	Refere	nce Si	tring															
1	0	7	1	0	2	1 2	2	3	0 3	2	4	0	3	0	2	1	0	7	
1	1	1			1			1	0			4	4	4		2	2		7
	0	0			0			3	3			3	0	0		0	0		0
		7			2			2	2			2	2	3		3	1		1

## LRU vs Optimal

- 1. pf(**LRU**(S)): total number of page faults of LRU on reference string S
- 2. pf(**Opt**(Sr)): total number of page faults of Optimal on **reverse** of S
- 3. pf(**LRU**(S)) = pf(**Opt**(Sr))
- 4. For any ref string M  $pf(LRU(M)) \ge pf(Opt(M))$

Optimal is the best

Therefore

- $pf(LRU(S)) \ge pf(Opt(S)) = pf(LRU(Sr)) \implies pf(LRU(S)) \ge pf(LRU(Sr))$
- $pf(LRU(Sr)) \ge pf(Opt(Sr)) = pf(LRU(S)) \implies pf(LRU(Sr)) \ge pf(LRU(S))$

Consequently: pf(LRU(Sr)) = pf(LRU(S)) or reversing the ref string yields the same page fault count on LRU (and Optimal)

# Page Replacement Algorithms: Implementation

Algorithm	Pros	Cons				
FIFO	Easy to implement (queu	le of page numbers)	Belady's Anomaly			
Optimal	No Belady's Anomaly		Impossible to implement			
LRU	No Belady's Anomaly	<u>```</u> `				

Stack Algorithm

P(N) = set of pages resident in RAM when process is allocated N frames

Property of Stack Algorithm:  $P(N) \subseteq P(N + k)$  where k > 1

As the number of frames goes up, you never lose pages!

