CPU Scheduling

Scheduling

- Teaching Schedule
 - Instructor schedule: who & when
 - Classroom schedule: what & when
- Doctor Appointment: who & when
- Why do we need a schedule?
- Static vs. Dynamic/Responsive Scheduling Algorithm
 - Class schedule vs. Air Traffic Controller

Thread/Process(or) Scheduling

- Dynamic scheduling
 - **who/what**: user processes competing for the same set of CPU(s)
 - $\circ\quad$ when : when a process changes its state (state transition diagram)
- Scheduling Objective: keep the CPU occupied all the time! (*high utilization*)
 - User objective: (to save battery life) keeps the CPU idle most of the time (*low utilization*)

Important Takeaway Concepts

- The OS is a process(or) manager
- The OS *must run its code* on the same CPU(s) your processes run
- Hardware interrupts and syscalls enable OS to regain CPU control
- OS responsibility: *virtualize* the CPU
 - create an *illusion that your process owns* the CPU to itself throughout the process lifetime













Preemptive vs. Cooperative Scheduling

• Non-preemptive / Cooperative

- Temporary monopoly: once the CPU is allocated to a user process, the process keeps it
- Scheduling decisions are made only when the user processes voluntarily release the CPU
- Transition Events: Running => Terminated and Running => Blocked

• Pre-emptive

- Each process is assigned a time-slice to use the CPU
- The system can preempt a running process and assign the CPU to another process
- Transition Events: Running => Ready and Blocked => Ready





CPUs are fast I/O devices are sloooow

CPU Speed and Clock Cycle

1 sec 10 ⁻³ seconds = 1 millisec	I/O devices	
10 ⁻³ seconds = 1 millisec	I/O devices	
	I/O devices	
10 ⁻⁶ seconds = 1 microsec		
1 Giga Hz = 10 ⁹ Hz 10 ⁻⁹ seconds = 1 nanosec		
10 ⁻¹² seconds = 1 picosec		
	10^{-6} seconds = 1 microsec 10^{-9} seconds = 1 nanosec 10^{-12} seconds = 1 picosec	

I/O devices can be 10⁶ slower than CPU

Handling I/O Operations using DMA

- CPUs operate in nanoseconds while I/O devices operate in millisecond
 - CPU speed in GHz (10⁹ cycles/sec or 10⁻⁹ seconds/cycle)
 - \circ $\;$ HD access time in milliseconds, SSD access time in microseconds
- Direct handling of I/O operations by the CPUs *lower the CPU utilization* by many orders of magnitude (the CPU will spend most of its time WAITING)
- Delegate *block-oriented* I/O operations to dedicated I/O processors (DMA Controller / **Direct Memory Access** Controller)

read (myfile_fd, &mybuff, sizeof(mybuff))















Types of Scheduling

- Short-Term Scheduling (or CPU Scheduler)
 - \circ $\,$ $\,$ Decision to select a process (from the Ready Q) to use the CPU
- Medium-Term Scheduling
 - Decision to bring processes into memory (swapping in) or kick them out into swap space (swapping out)
 - Linux swap partition (type 82)
- Long-Term Scheduling
 - Decision to admit new processes into the system

Scheduling Objectives

- Max. CPU utilization: Keeps the CPU 100% utilized
- Max. Throughput: keeps as many active processes as possible
- Min. Turnaround time: total *lifetime* of a process
- Min. Waiting time: the total amount of time spent by a process *outside of CPU*
 - \circ ~ Either waiting in the ready queue or blocked
- Min. Response Time: (for interactive processes) the time for the system to respond to a user request
- These objectives may be conflicting with each other

Scheduling Algorithms

- Non-preemptive / Cooperative Algorithms: *CPU can't be stolen from the current process*
 - First-Come First-Served
 - Shortest-Job-First
- Preemptive Algorithms: CPU can be stolen from the current process
 - \circ Round-Robin
 - Shortest Remaining Time (preemptive version of SJF)
 - Multilevel Queue
 - Multilevel Feedback Queue
 - Priority Scheduling

Non-Preemptive Scheduling Algorithms

Processes are allowed to finish their entire CPU burst (without being kicked out of the CPU)

First-Come First-Served

- Select the "oldest" (frontmost) processes from the ready queue
- A short processes may have to wait a loooong time before it can run
- Favors CPU-bound processes
 - I/O-bound processes have to wait for CPU-bound processes to complete
 - Convoy effect
 - One CPU-bound process and many I/O-bound processes
 - All the I/O bound processes trailing behind the CPU-bound process





FCFS Example

	Process	CPU Burst Time	Arrival Time	Wait Time	Turnaround Time	
	P1	24	0			
	P2	3	2			
	P3	3	5			
_						-
		P1			P2	P3
	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +					
0		8 10 12	14 16	18 20 22 P2 wait tin	ne 24 26 2 P2 turnaround time	8 30
P2	2 arrival P3 arrival					32

Shortest Job First

- Select a process with the shortest *expected* processing time/service time (or *expected* next CPU burst)
- Also called Shortest Process Next
- Short processes jump ahead of long processes (*possibility of starvation*)
- How to determine processing/service time
 - Batch jobs: **supplied** by the user
 - Interactive users: **estimated** the next CPU burst from the history of previous CPU bursts





How long does it take you to drive to campus *tomorrow*?



SJF: Estimate (Next) Service Time

- A pure SJF algorithm is impossible to implement
 - \circ ~ The actual value of the next CPU burst is unknown. Estimation is required
- How to estimate the next CPU burst (τ_{n+1}) from previous actual CPU bursts: t₁, t₂, t₃, ..., t_n
- Simple Average $\tau_{n+1} = (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + ... + t_n) / n$
- Exponential Average vs. Simple Average









<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>







Round Robin

- The OS sets a fixed time quantum (in millisecs) for all the processes to use the CPU
 - The OS sets a timer (in hardware)
 - Processes with CPU burst > quantum will be preempted (by the timer interrupt) and placed back to the ready queue
 - Processes with CPU burst < quantum will continue to do I/O (use its I/O burst)
- CPU-bound processes will likely use up all the assigned quantum time
- I/O-bound processes will use only **a fraction of the quantum time** and then blocked for I/O



Round Robin Quantum Time

- Too short: too much overhead for context switching
 - \circ $\hfill Quantum time should be relatively large compared to context switch time$
- Too long: RR behaves like FCFS
- Perform better for interactive systems (ex: your EOS GUI sessions)
 - \circ $\;$ Interactive sessions: short CPU bursts, and long I/O bursts
 - Interactive sessions are able to finish all their CPU burst without being preempted
- However, in a mixed system
 - I/O-bound processes will suffer (blocked I/O queue most of the time).
 - Quantum time is <<< typical I/O time
 - CPU-bound processes will monopolize the CPU (in the Ready Q most of the time, possibly ahead of I/O-bound processes)

Priority-Based Scheduling (must be preemptive)

Multilevel Queue (1)

- Mixing CPU-intensive and I/O intensive processes in one queue does not seem to be a good idea
- Use **several** ready queues
 - assign different priority levels to the queues
- Assign user processes *permanently* to one of the ready queues
- Each queue may run its own scheduling algorithm

Multilevel Queue (2)

- How to "schedule" the queues (which queue to select processes from)?
 - Fixed-priority (preemptive) scheduling
 - dispatch processes from a lower priority queue when none can be dispatched from a higher level ones)
 - Time-sliced among the queues
 - Assign a certain "time slice" to each queue
 - Continue to dispatch from one queue until time slice for one queue expires, then move on to the lower queue

Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling

- A variant that combines Round Robin (RR) and Multilevel Queue (MQ)
- Unlike MQ, **MFQ allows processes to migrate** among different queues
 - **Promoted** to a queue of higher priority
 - **Demoted** to a queue of lower priority
- Longer RR quantum time for lower priority queues
- Shorter RR quantum time for higher priority queues

Used in Windows NT

Multilevel Feedback Queue: Naughty or Nice?





Multilevel Feedback Queue Scheduling

- Promote processes that use too little CPU time (move them to a higher priority queue)
- Penalize processes that use too much CPU time (move them to a lower priority queue)
- CPU intensive processes will *eventually demote* into the **lowest prio Q**
- I/O intensive processes will *eventually promote* to the **highest prio Q**
- Priority aging: avoids starvation

Priority Scheduling

- Select processes with higher priority first (before any other processes)
- Priority scheduler is ALWAYS preemptive. Why?
- Two opposing interpretations of "priority numbers"
 - Lower numbers mean higher priority. (like TODO list)
 - \circ $\;$ Higher numbers mean higher priority. (like GPA) $\;$
- Risk: Processes with lower priority may starve
 - Solution: apply priority aging to avoid starvation (elevate priority level periodically)

55

Thread Scheduling

- KLTs are **scheduled** by the OS
- ULTs are **managed** by the thread library
- ULTs must first be mapped to a KLT before it can run on a CPU
- Mapping Models
 - One-to-One => All threads in a process are scheduled by the OS
 - Many-to-One or Many-to-Few => Not all threads are schedulable by the OS

Multiprocessor Scheduling

Multiprocessor Scheduling Issues

- Where to run the OS code?
 - Asymmetric Multiprocessing: OS code runs only on specific CPUs
 - Symmetric Multiprocessing: OS code can run on any CPUs
- Load balancing, Process Migration, and Processor Affinity
 - Load balancing requires process migrations from one CPU to another
 - Costly Cache Repopulation
 - Processor Affinity: ability to bind a process to a particular CPU (in a multi CPU system)
 - Conflicting objectives between load balancing and processor affinity





Multicore Scheduling Issues

Hardware Features (but also scheduling issues)

- An N-core CPU appears to be N separate CPUs to the OS
- To keep CPU cores busy during a memory stall, CPU architects design **hardware multithreading**. (Intel Hyper-Threading technology)
 - \circ Each core now appears as TWO separate CPUs to the OS

Real-Time Scheduling: Scheduling with Time Constraints

Goal: make the OS respond within a given time limit

Time Constraints on Tasks

- Start time: tasks must begin at or no later than a specific time instance
- End time (*deadline*): tasks must complete at or no later than a specific time instance
- Periodic: tasks must repeat at a specific rate
- Examples
 - Sustain "continuous" audio/video stream (YouTube streaming, Zoom video streaming)

Periodic and deadline constraints

Automotive control: anti-lock brakes
Aircraft Control: fly-by-wire

Start, End (deadline), and Periodic constraints Start time constraints

Start time constraints

• Autonomous Car: vehicle response to sensory input

Real-Time Systems: Categories

- Soft RT Systems
 - Guarantee that critical processes will be given preference over non-critical ones
 - But do not guarantee time constraints
- Hard RT Systems
 - Must guarantee that tasks be serviced by its deadline

Real-Time Schedulers

- Objective: schedule tasks to minimize latency
- Required features of an RTS
 - Priority-based
 - Preemptive (when a higher priority process becomes available, lower priority processes are preempted from the CPU)

- Priority-based + Preemptive RTS only guarantee *soft real-time*
- RT Scheduling Algorithms
 - Rate-Monotonic Scheduler
 - Earliest-Deadline First Scheduler



Model for RMS

- Tasks/processes are assumed to be *periodic with a fixed CPU burst* CPU burst and "I/O bursts" are two fixed values
- Time Constraint (Deadline): Processes must be **completed** within a given time limit
- Each process is parameterized by these three numbers
 - (CPU burst, periodic interval, time constraint/deadline)







Rate-Monotonic Scheduling Algorithm

- Assign static priority, inverse of the task period
 - Longer period (lower rate of CPU use) => lower priority
 - Shorter period (higher of CPU use) => higher priority
- Preemptive scheduling algorithm
 - Tasks with lower priority are preempted (from the CPU) if a higher priority task becomes ready/available to run





RMS Examples

Process	Period	Deadline	CPU burst	% of CPU Utilization (CPU/Period)
P1	50	50	40	80% (= 40/50)
P2	100	100	35	35% (= 35/100)

Total CPU utilization = 80% + 35% = 115% > 100%

Impossible to schedule the two periodic tasks!





Earliest-Deadline First (EDF)

- Assign priority dynamically based on the next deadline
 - Earlier deadline => higher priority
 - Later deadline => lower priority
- Theoretically optimal algorithm (CPU utilization may be close to 100%)
- The algorithm also works for **non-periodic** processes and **variable** CPU bursts, but the **next deadline** must be announced to the system





O(1) Scheduler in Linux 2.6.8

• Data structures: array of linked lists (runqueue)

- arr[0] is the list of highest priority processes
- arr[N-1] is the list of lowest priority processes
- \circ ~ One linked list holds processes of the same priority

• Two copies of runqueue: active and expired

- When a process did not use all its quantum, half of the unused quantum is added to the next round (and the process stays in the "active" runqueue)
- \circ $\;$ When a process used all its quantum, it is moved from active to expire
- When the active run queue is "empty", swap active and expired

Linux 2.6.23: Completely Fair Scheduler

- Fairness: with N processes in the system, each process should receive 1/N of the CPU time
- On an *ideal multitasking CPU*, these N processes would run in parallel at equal speed (1/N of the CPU speed)
 - Impossible to run them in parallel on real CPUs
- Approach for implementing a fair scheduler
 - Virtual runtime: the amount of CPU that a process should have gotten on an ideal multitasking CPU

Linux: Completely Fair Scheduler

- Similar idea as the Multilevel Feedback Queue
 - MFQ: promote / demote priority levels based on process execution pattern
 - CFS: adjust virtual runtime based on process execution pattern
- Nice value: -20 (high priority) to 0 (normal priority) to +19 (low priority)
 - Default nice value is zero (normal priority)
 - Lower number means high priority
- Preemptive scheduler (because of priority based algorithm)
- Processes are organized into a Red-Black tree (with virtual runtime as key)

Linux CFS: separating "naughty" from "nice"

- Runtime decay rate calculated from the process nice value
 - Nice < 0 (high priority): decay rate < 1.0
 - Nice = 0 (normal priority): no decay
 - Nice > 0 (low priority): decay rate > 1.0
- Virtual runtime vs. Physical runtime
 - Physical runtime: total CPU time that **has been used** by this processes
 - Virtual runtime: physical runtime after being adjusted by the **decay rate**
 - Lower priority processes: virtual runtime > physical runtime
 - Higher priority processes: virtual runtime < physical runtime
 - Normal priority processes: virtual runtime = physical runtime
- CFS selects the process with the smallest virtual runtime

CFS: Mix of I/O bound and CPU bound jobs

- Execution patterns
 - I/O bound jobs use only a **fraction of its quantum** (before it blocks for I/O)
 - \circ $\ \$ CPU bound jobs will exhaust its $entire\ quantum$ (before it is preempted)
- Virtual runtime of I/O bound jobs will be smaller than CPU bound jobs
 - \circ ~ I/O bound jobs are dispatched ahead of CPU bound jobs
- Starvation is avoided
 - Processes that have not used CPU will have lower physical runtime value (hence lower virtual runtime)

Linux CFS: Real-Time Scheduling

- Priority range 0-139 (static priority number)
 - Recall: lower number means higher priority in Linux
- Real-time tasks: 0-99
 - Soft Realtime Scheduler (does not guarantee deadline)
 - Can be scheduled using either RR or **preemptive FCFS**
 - POSIX Thread options: SCHED_RR or SCHED_FIFO
 - **Preemptive FCFS:** a variant of FCFS where preemption allowed by higher prio processes
- Normal tasks: 100-139
 - Normal tasks with nice value -20 are assigned priority value 100
 - Normal tasks with nice value +19 are assigned priority value 139

Linux: Static Priority vs. Dynamic Priority

- Static priority [0,139]
- Dynamic priority = func (static_priority, average_sleep_time)
 - Adjustment range: [-5, +5]
 - Sleeping too much => increase dynamic priority
 - Working too much => decrease dynamic priority

Windows Scheduler

- 32 priority levels
 - Smaller number means lower priority
 - Levels 1-15 for "variable class"
 - Levels 16-31 for "real-time class"
- Six Base Priority Classes
 - IDLE (4), BELOW_NORMAL(6), NORMAL (8), ABOVE_NORMAL (10), HIGH_PRIORITY (13), REALTIME (24)
- Seven Relative Priority Classes
 - IDLE, LOWEST, BELOW_NORMAL, NORMAL, ABOVE_NORMAL, HIGHEST, TIME_CRITICAL
- Table 6.22 in textbook