Software Solution: Semaphores ("lock & counter")

Semaphore in User Space

Semaphores: Edsger Dijkstra (1965)

Dijkstra Graph Shortest Path Algorithm

void wait(int s) { while (s <= 0) { /* None */ } s--; }

void signal(int s) { s++; }

Also invented **Also invented** *two ATOMIC operations on INTEGER s*

71

Using Dijkstra Semaphore

while (s <= 0) { /* do nothing */ } s--; s++; Critical Section // initial value int $s = 1$; **down(s); // or wait(s)** Critical Section **up(s); // or signal(s)**

Types of Semaphore

- **Binary Semaphores**
	- Use: mutex locks, wait(L) to obtain the lock, signal(L) to release the lock
- Counting Semaphores: value can be any number (including **NEGATIVE**)
	- Common use: control access to resources with finite number of availability
	- Initialized to number of available resources
	- wait(R): request ONE unit of resource, signal(R): release the resource
- "Event Notification" Semaphores
	- Initialized to ZERO
	- wait(E): block until event took place, signal(E): notify that event has taken place

Binary Semaphore Details

/* Critical Section */

s++;

 $s =$ (initial value 1)

Counting Semaphore Details

```
while (s \leq 0) {/* none */}
s--;/* Shared Space */
```
s++;

 $s =$ (initial value 3)

Counting Semaphore Details

Typical Use of Semaphores

- # of sem.wait() calls must $==$ # of sem.signal() calls
- Protect Shared Resources (control the "**room capacity"**)
	- Invoke sr.wait() and sr.signal() pair **within one process** (the wait-signal pair creates a *virtual room* of capacity N)
	- Initialize the semaphore sr to the "room" capacity
- Event Counters (notify "**events**")
	- ev.wait() and ev.signal() calls are **split across two processes**, the pair create a *notification channel* between the two processes
	- Typically initialize the semaphore ev to zero (to indicate no *events have taken place*), or positive number (to indicate *some events have happened*)

Event Notification Semaphore Details

 $s =$ (initial value 0)

Counting/Binary Semaphore

initialization

// counting bigroom_sem = 5

initialization

// binary smallroom_sem = 1

wait(smallroom_sem)

Room of capacity 1

signal(smallroom_sem)

Ferris Wheel: "Critical Section" of Capacity N

The Rapids Bus: "Critical Section" of Capacity N

number of wait $()$ = number of signal $()$

the counter becomes a lock when its value is zero or negative *the counter becomes a lock when its value is negative*

Implementation: classical vs. modern


```
class Semaphore { // "MODERN" semaphore, 
private: // spin_lock is used, but not explicitly shown
   int value;
  queue<Process> list; // queue of processes block on the contract of epublic:
   void wait() { // ATTN: no while loop!!!
     value--;
    if (value \langle 0 \rangle {
       list.push_back(_this_process_);
       change the state of _this_process_ to "blocked"
     }
   }
   void signal() {
     value++;
    if (value \leq 0) {
      Process p = list.popfront();
       change the state of p to "ready"
     }
   }
}
                                          Using "modern" definition, 
                                          semaphore value may be 
                                         negative and its magnitude 
                                         is the number of processes 
                                         blocked on the semaphore
                                                                         85
                                            value = 1 (init 1)
```
Implementation: classical vs. modern

"Modern" Semaphore Implementation

- **value** and **list** are *shared variables* themselves
- Operations inside Semaphore::wait() and Semaphore::signal() must be ATOMIC
	- Increment / decrement s
	- Add / remove processes/threads from the queue
- Use spinlock to guarantee atomic operation **throughout both functions**
	- **We can't avoid busy wait altogether**!
	- Classical semaphores require **much longer busy wait**
	- Modern semaphores run the spinlock only for a **fraction of time**

Integer Value of Modern Semaphores

Semaphore sem;

- sem.value ≥ 0 : the number of processes that can run sem.wait() without getting blocked
- sem.value < 0: **abs(sem.value)** is the number of processes blocked on the semaphore

"Notification" Semaphores: Chef to Server

89

"Notification" Semaphores: Server to Chef

empty_tray = 5;

Current value

empty_tray is 2

"Notification" Semaphores

taco_done is 3 empty_tray is 2 Current value wait(taco_done) signal(empty_tray)

Python Playground

Taco Restaurant using Semaphores

Producer/Consumer Solution using Semaphores

Producer/Consumer using Semaphores

- Shared buffer with N bins
- Two "event counters"
	- an item is placed in a bin (bin_filled similar to "taco_done")
	- an item is removed from a bin (bin_emptied similar to "empty_tray")
- One mutex lock (binary semaphore)
	- shared buffer manipulated concurrently by both producer and consumer

Producer: counters & busy wait ⇔ semaphores

}

/* **producer** (append @ the end */ while (true) {

p_item = *produce_item();*

```
 while (counter == BUFF_SIZE)
   /* do nothing */;
buff[in] = p item;
 in++;
 in %= BUFF_SIZE;
```

```
 counter++; /* unblock consumer */
```

```
/* producer (append @ the end) */
while (true) {
   p_item = produce_item();
  empty_bin.wait();
  buff[in] = p item;
   in++;
   in %= BUFF_SIZE;
  filled_bin.signal();
```
Consumer: counters & busy wait ⇔ semaphores

}

/* **consumer** (remove from front) */ while (true) {

```
 while (counter == 0)
   /* do nothing */;
c item = buff[out]; out++;
 out %= BUFF_SIZE;
```
counter--; /* *unblock producer* ***/**

 consume_item (c_item);

}

```
/* consumer (remove from front) */
while (true) {
   filled_bin.wait();
  c item = buff[out]; out++;
   out %= BUFF_SIZE;
   empty_bin.signal();
   consume_item (c_item);
```
Semaphore wait() vs Process wait()

- Semaphore wait() **becomes blocking** *only when* the value of the semaphore is
	- Non-positive (classic semaphores)
	- Negative (modern semaphores)
- Process wait() **becomes blocking** when its child process has not terminated
- Process wait() does not block when the child it is waiting for has terminated

Semaphores for Classic CS Problems

Readers / Writers

Readers / Writers

Readers / Writers

Readers/Writers

- More challenging than Producer/Consumer problem
	- ONE producer and ONE consumer
	- MANY readers and MANY writers

● Asymmetrical access

- Only one writer is allowed at any time (destructive operation)
- Multiple readers are allowed at any time (non-destructive operation)
- Reading and writing are mutually exclusive operations
	- When the DB is being written, no readers shall be allowed access
	- When the DB is being read (by multipler readers), no writers shall be allowed access

Semaphores for Reader/Writers

- An **"active"** writer must exclude other writers and other readers
	- Simpler synchronization code for writers
- An "active" reader should exclude any writers but allow other readers to join reading the DB
	- More complicated logic in readers' code
- **Solution Strategy**
	- Assign one reader to be the "group leader"
	- Let the "group leader" prevent other writers from using the DB but allow other readers

Readers/Writers Handout

Readers/Writers (First attempt)

```
/* writer */
while (true) {
   rw_access.wait();
   // update the DB
   // Update the DB
   // Update the DB
   rw_access.signal();
}
```


108

#1: Readers/Writers (incomplete)

#2: Readers/Writers: First R locks (last R unlock)

```
/* writer */
while (true) {
   rw_access.wait();
   // update the DB
   // Update the DB
   // Update the DB
  rw access.signal();
}
                              /* reader */
                              while (true) {
                                 rmutex.wait();
                                 reader_counter++;
                                 rmutex.signal();
                                if (reader counter == 1) rw_access.wait(); // only the leader holds the access key
                                 // read the DB
                                 // read the DB
                                 rmutex.wait();
                                 reader_counter--;
                                 rmutex.signal();
                                if (reader counter == 0) rw_access.signal(); // the leader releases the access key
                              }
                                                  Semaphore rw access: __ (init 1)
                                                  Semaphore mutex: ___ (init 1)
                                                 int reader counter: (init 0)
```
#3: Readers/Writers: Fair Competition

